IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2534 OF 2003

IN

SUIT NO.1283 OF 2005

Reeta Bharat Arora. ...Plaintiff.

vs.

1.Mela Ram Dhawan & others. ...Defendants.

Mr.K.V.Tambe, for Plaintiff.

Mr.Rupesh Sohoni, for Defendant no.2.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 2nd April, 2007.

P.C.:-

1. This is a notice of motion taken out by the plaintiff. The learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff states that all the defendants have been served. The defendant no.2 is appearing. Defendant nos.1, 3 and 4 are not appearing. It appears that by consent of parties the Court has made ad-interim order which is presently operating. The

defendant nos. 1 and 3 are the contesting defendants. They are served. They have not filed any reply. They are also not appearing. In the absence of any reply from defendant nos. 1 and 3 and in view of the consent given by the defendant no.2, accepting the averments in the affidavit filed in support of the notice of motion at face value, ad-interim order dated 15.9.2005 as clarified by order dated 30.9.2005 is confirmed. The notice of motion is disposed of.